



ONTARIO
RIVERS
ALLIANCE



Izaak Walton
Fly Fishing Club



Trout Unlimited Canada



Greg Clark Chapter

8 April 2021

Quinto M. Annibale, B.A., LL.B., Partner
Loopstra Nixon Barristers and Solicitors
135 Queens Plate Drive, Suite 600
Toronto, ON M9W 6V7
By email: QAnnibale@loonix.com

Mayor Allan Alls and Council
Town of Erin
5684 Trafalgar Road
Hillsburgh, ON N0B 1Z0
By email: Allan.Alls@Erin.ca & Council@Erin.ca

Re: Proposed Erin Wastewater Treatment Plant

Dear Mr. Annibale, Mayor and Council:

The Coalition for the West Credit River, of which the Belfountain Community Organization is a member, wish to inform Mayor Alan Alls and Council (the "Town") that the questions asked in our [18 February 2021 correspondence](#) were either not addressed at all or not satisfactorily addressed in the final Environmental Study Report (ESR) for the proposed Erin Wastewater Treatment Plant (Erin WWTP).

The Coalition has carefully reviewed the ESR and found numerous information and process gaps, where decisions were made without a clear, transparent and traceable path to show how they were made. There were riparian landowners abutting and adjacent to the discharge infrastructure that were not informed or consulted. The Coalition has laid out our numerous concerns in our [25 February 2021 Designation Request](#) submitted to Minister Jonathan Wilkinson, under Subsection 9(a) of the *Impact Assessment Act* (IAA).

The Coalition reached out in good faith to the Mayor, in the hopes that he would answer our questions, and perhaps resolve some of our concerns and make it unnecessary to take this matter to the federal level for a review under the IAA. However, it has become crystal clear that the Mayor was not acting in good faith when we were informed by the Town's lawyer, Quinto Annibale, in his [10 March 2021 email](#) to me, that *"all of the questions and issues which were raised and answered during the Part 2 Order request made to the Minister of the Environment Conservation and Parks pursuant to the Environmental Assessment Act. As you know the Minister considered each of these issues and refused to grant the Order. Since you participated in the Part 2 order request, my client sees very little useful purpose in answering the same questions again and therefore will not be responding to the detailed questions contained in your February 18, 2021 correspondence"*.

It is obvious the Town had no answers to our questions, or it would have responded in a professional and reasonable manner. For the record, none of our questions were answered in

the ESR. Additionally, our [8 March 2021 letter to the Town](#), which set out our concerns over damage done to the Solmar property, was totally ignored with no response.

Damage to the Solmar Land:

In mid-December of last year, the Coalition and local residents of Erin noticed that work on the Solmar land had already commenced, with a forested area and brush being cut and burned, large trees pulled out by the roots with large construction machinery and grapple claws.

On Wednesday, 23 December 2020, Mayor Allan Ails made the Facebook post below in response to growing public concerns over a 10-liter hydraulic spill close to the West Credit River as well as the brush and tree cutting that occurred on the Solmar land:



Mayor Allan Ails

Folks this has nothing to do about the waste water plant. Owner is clearing fence rows to gain more agriculture lands for crops. I spoke with the owner this am

Like · Share · 12w



It is concerning that the Mayor would just speak with the developer owner about an alleged violation and accept at face value that this was just “*agricultural lands for crops*” on “*private property*”, and it was just “*farming activity*”¹. The Mayor must have been aware that this was highly sensitive greenbelt land that is designated as a provincially significant wetland, and that it would require more than just a call. The Project ESR clearly identified the sensitivity of Site 1 (Solmar) which “*transect or are adjacent to areas of the County’s “Greenlands System”, (includes both “Greenlands” and “Core Greenlands” designations)” and “exists throughout the general study area comprising much of the identified “Core Greenlands” lands*”².

It would have been prudent if the Mayor had reported this damage to the municipal Bylaw Enforcement Officer, who would then ensure compliance with the municipal tree cutting and site alteration bylaws and report the damage to Credit Valley Conservation (CVC), to the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), and/or the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO). This doesn’t appear to have happened and falls well below the standard of care that would be expected by a government official. Of course, the Mayor’s \$2.00 purchase³ of 5 hectares of prime real estate from Solmar for land valued in the ESR at \$210,000⁴, raises even more questions about the appropriateness of how this was all handled.

In late February of this year the Coalition became aware of extensive damage done by the tree clearing, grubbing and grading which appears to have led to destruction of a first order Brook Trout nursery tributary to the West Credit River. There were numerous deep ruts cut into the streambed by large, heavy machinery (see Addendum 1) within areas classified as:

¹ Statement: Town of Erin Council on the Wastewater Treatment Plant

² ESR, Volume 2 of 3, Part 2, 3.2.1 Natural Heritage Designations, County of Wellington Greenlands System Designations. P-133-134/341.

³ Statement: Town of Erin Council on the Wastewater Treatment Plant

⁴ ESR, Volume 3 of 3, Part 2, Table 1 Site 1 Estimated Capital Cost. P-66/384.

1. Provincially Significant West Credit River Wetland Complex,
2. Species-at-Risk Woodlands, and
3. Greenbelt Natural Heritage System.

The Coalition is very concerned that this first order permanently flowing stream was badly damaged, as well as the provincially significant wetland and species at risk habitat that was likely destroyed by these premature actions. The area of fish habitat damage is approximately 100 m² of active channel and approximately 3,000 m² of contributing wetland area (groundwater recharge/discharge function). The wetland area is part of the Provincially Significant West Credit River Wetland Complex.

The damage done to this sensitive tributary and the trees that were cleared away and pulled out by the roots by heavy machinery were not on agricultural land, they were located in a Provincially Significant Wetland Complex and Greenbelt Natural Heritage System, and we have confirmed that there were no permits or authorizations issued for any of this work. The fines for this type of damage can be quite substantial, including orders for restoration.

Additional ESR Commitments:

The Coalition has carefully reviewed the ESR to see what habitat related to endangered or threatened species, Schedule 1 SARA species and migratory bird species may have been impacted by the premature removal of brush and trees. The ESR stated, “*Site 1 does provide suitable breeding habitat for the area sensitive Savannah Sparrow, and thus qualifies as significant wildlife habitat under the PPS. As such, development and site alteration are only permitted if there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or their ecological functions. Furthermore, Site 1 contained a rare and uncommon plant species (Wild Geranium) and is located next to the West Credit PSW Complex. Appropriate mitigation measures were therefore recommended to ensure no negative effects on species of conservation concern and important natural heritage features in the vicinity*”⁵. “*Eastern Meadowlark was also heard on the west side of Wellington Road 52 within the third proposed WWTP site (Site 1).*”⁶ However, the ESR also stated, “*Once the exact location is known we propose conducting additional bird surveys in the affected habitat to document whether any species at risk and sensitive species are present (such as Eastern Meadowlark, Bobolink, Savannah Sparrow) and formulate potential mitigation plans should they be required*”⁷.

We found no evidence in the ESR that these “*additional bird surveys*” were ever done, and it is unknown whether they were ever completed after the Solmar land, Site 1 was chosen, and before the damage was done to SARs, SARA, migratory birds and/or sensitive species habitats.

However, the ESR does say, “*In carrying forward two recommended alternatives for the WWTP site through to the final ESR, it is recognized that the municipality will need to prepare an Addendum to this ESR to make a final site selection. The addendum will need to provide details of the events that have occurred and the rationale for making the final location decision*”⁸. There was no Addendum included in the Final version of the ESR, nor have stakeholders received or been made aware of any subsequent Addendum to the ESR.

⁵ ESR, Volume 2 of 3, Part 2, Natural Environment Report, 4.3.2 Potential WWTP sites. P-162/341.

⁶ ESR, Volume 2 of 3, Part 2, Natural Environment Report, 4.3.2 Potential WWTP sites. P-162/341.

⁷ ESR, Volume 2 of 3, Part 2, Hutchinson 11 April 2018 Response to Tara McKenna, Species at Risk, Comment #14. P-234/341.

⁸ ESR, Volume 1 of 3, 13.4.3 Results of the Wastewater Treatment Plant Site Alternative Evaluation. P-139/526.

A review of the 10 April 2018 Ainley response to Tara McKenna's 16 March 2018 comments revealed that *"An arborist report of all affected areas will be prepared as part of an overall Environmental Management Plan for the project during the design stage"*⁹; however, there is no confirmation or information to indicate that either were ever done.

Additionally, the ESR noted that *"based on the findings of the Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (Background Research and Property Inspection), the following mitigation measures are required to protect/preserve archaeological interests. A stage 2 archaeological assessment is to be performed on the selected WWTP site 1 (Solmar)."*¹⁰

Once the final site selection decision was made were the following ESR commitments ever fulfilled:

- Addendum to the ESR,
- Environmental Management Plan,
- Arborist report for all affected areas,
- Additional bird survey, and
- Archaeological Assessment, Stage 2?

The deeper we go into the review of the ESR, the more concerns and questions we have.

Finally, in a [letter dated 19 March 2021, the Coalition formally invited Mayor Alls](#) to an online Community Meeting which took place on the 25th of March. On 22 March 2021, Mayor Alls informed by telephone that he would not be attending the Community Meeting because of the federal Designation Request, he cannot go near it; and that he doesn't answer to the taxpayers of Belfountain, that they have their own Mayor they can talk to.

1. Please provide the legal opinion stating which statute or law is prohibiting the Mayor from meeting with the Coalition when a Designation Request has been filed with the Minister of ECCC under the IAA.
2. It is not the duty of the downstream Caledon Mayor to consult on the proposed Erin WWTP and ESR. The Town of Erin had a duty to consult with adjacent, abutting, and downstream riparian landowners and stakeholders that will be directly impacted by the sewage effluent. The Town failed to fulfill that responsibility. The proposed Erin WWTP would be situated very close to the Erin/Caledon boundary, but the sewage effluent will be discharged into the West Credit River on the border between the two municipalities, flowing only a short distance directly downstream into Belfountain.

The Coalition for the West Credit River looks forward to your response.

Respectfully,



Judy Mabee
President, Belfountain Community Organization
Chair, Coalition for the West Credit River

⁹ *ESR, Volume 2 of 3, Part 2, Hutchinson 11 April 2018 Response to Tara McKenna, Species at Risk, Comment #11, P-234/341.*

¹⁰ *ESR, Volume 1 of 3, 14.2 Archaeological, P-172/526.*

Cc: Honourable Jonathan Wilkinson - EC.Ministre-Minister.EC@Canada.ca
Impact Assessment Agency of Canada - CEAA.information.acee@Canada.ca
Mayor Allan Thompson, Town of Caledon – Allan.Thompson@Caledon.ca
Cathie Jamieson, Mississauga of the New Credit First Nation - CathieJ@mncfn.ca
Bethanie Wakefield, Six Nations of the Grand River Territory – Wildlife@sixnations.ca
Haudenosaunee Confederacy - hdi2@bellnet.ca
Honourable John Yakabuski, Minister of MNRF – Minister.mnrf@ontario.ca
Honourable Catherine McKenna, Minister of Infrastructure – Infrc.Minister-
Ministre.Infrc@canada.ca
Honourable Bernadette Jordan, Minister of DFO – Min@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Honourable Jeff Yurek, Minister of MECP – Minister.MECP@Ontario.ca
Aziz Ahmed, P.Eng., Manager, MECP – Aziz.Ahmed@Ontario.ca
Barbara Slattery, EA Planning Coordinator, MECP – Barbara.Slattery@Ontario.ca
Ian Thornton, Resource Operations, Supervisor, MNRF – Ian.Thornton@Ontario.ca
William Glass, Fish & Fish Habitat Protection Prog., DFO - William.Glass@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Tyler Slaght, Credit Valley Conservation – Tyler.Slaght@CVC.ca
Sylvia Jones, MPP, Dufferin-Caledon – Sylvia.Jonesco@pc.ola.org
Sandy Shaw, MPP, Hamilton West, Ancaster-Dundas – SShaw-op@NDP.on.ca
Mike Schreiner, MPP, Green Party Leader – MSchreiner-co@ola.org
Lloyd Longfield, MP, Guelph - Lloyd.Longfield@parl.gc.ca
Michael Chong, MP, Wellington-Halton Hills – MichaelChong.ca
Kyle Seebach, MP, Dufferin-Caledon – Kyle.Seebach@parl.gc.ca
Jagmeet Singh, MP, NDP Party Leader - Jagmeet.Singh@parl.gc.ca
Annamie Paul, Green Party Leader – info@annamiepaul.ca



Erin WWTP Site Clearing

Map created: 3/8/2021

Notes: Red shaded polygon represents approximate area of clearing in provincially significant wetland and woodlands



Legend

- Assessment Parcel
- Woodland
- Conservation Reserve
- Provincial Park
- Natural Heritage System
- Ecotone
- Wetland**
 - Provincially Significant Wetland Evaluated
 - Non-Provincially Significant Wetland Evaluated
 - Unevaluated Wetland
- Area of Natural Heritage & Scientific Interest (ANSI)**
 - Provincially Significant Life Science ANSI
 - Provincially Significant Earth Science ANSI
- Greenbelt Plan**
 - Boundary
 - Greenbelt External Connections
- Land Use Designations**
 - Protected Countryside
 - Greenbelt Towns and Villages
 - Greenbelt Homesteads
 - Urban River Valley
 - Greenbelt Specialty Crop Area
- Niagara Escarpment Plan (NEP)**
 - Boundary
 - Parks and Open Space Systems
- Land Use Designations**
 - Escarpment Natural Area
 - Escarpment Protection Area
 - Escarpment Rural Area
 - Mineral Resource Extraction Area
 - Escarpment Recreation Area
 - Urban Area
 - Minor Urban Centre
- Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan (ORM)**
 - Boundary
- Land Use Designations**
 - Natural Core Area
 - Natural Linkage Area
 - Countryside Area
 - Rural Settlement
 - Ploughed Pastures
 - Roadside Community
 - Settlement Area

0.3 0 0.14 0.3 Kilometers

This map should not be relied on as a precise indicator of routes or locations, nor as a guide to navigation. The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (OMNRF) shall not be liable in any way for the use of, or reliance upon, this map or any information on this map.

© Copyright for Ontario Parcel data is held by Queen's Printer for Ontario and its licensors [2020] and may not be reproduced without permission. THIS IS NOT A PLAN OF SURVEY.



This map may not display all features listed in the legend because the feature layer was not turned on at the time the map was made; the features do not exist in the geographic range; or features have not been mapped. Absence of a feature in the map does not mean they do not exist in this area.

Imagery Copyright Notices: DRAPE © Aéro-Photo (1981) Inc., 2006 - 2009
GTA 2005 / SWOOP 2006 / Simcoe-Muskoka-Dufferin © FirstBase Solutions, 2005 / 2006 / 2008
© Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2020





Photo 5. Looking Southeast



Photo 5. Looking East Tree Clearing





Photo 1. Looking northwest from entrance to property off Cty. Rd 52
Note "gap"



Photo 2. Zoom from entrance off Cty. Rd 52 to "gap"



Photo 3.



Photo 4. (Photo 3. Closeup)

Addendum 2

Proposed Locations of EWWTP and Sewage Effluent Discharge.

